## OLD FORM--Human Research Ethics - Application Form - if you have started using this form then please continue. This form will be taken out of use on Sept. 1, 2024.

#### Project Info.

File No: 104088

Project Title: Ethical Leadership Paradigms among Indian Immigrant Professionals in Canada and Their

Counterparts in India: The Effects of Acculturation

Principal Investigator: Ms. Rumana Patel (Faculty of Education and Social Work\Education)

Start Date: 2024/09/23 End Date: 2025/03/31

Keywords: acculturation, Immigrants, Canada, India, Ethics, Leadership

### **Project Team Info.**

#### **Principal Investigator**

Prefix: Ms.

Last Name: Patel

First Name: Rumana

Affiliation: Faculty of Education and Social Work\Education

**Position:** Graduate Student Email: patelr224@mytru.ca

Phone1: 2508794791

Phone2:

Fax:

Primary Address: 620 Dalgleish Dr, Kamloops, BC V2C 5W7

Institution: Thompson Rivers University

Country: Canada

**Comments:** 

Other Project Te	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation	Role In Project	Email
Dr.	Manning- Lewis	Tanya	Faculty of Education and Social Work	Faculty Supervisor	tmanninglewi s@tru.ca

Dr.	Hoare	Alana	Faculty of Education and Social Work\Educati on	Faculty Supervisor	ahoare@tru.c a
-----	-------	-------	---	-----------------------	-------------------

## **Common Questions**

## 1. Declaration

#	Question	Answer
	I verify that; a) this project has been	
	reviewed and deemed to be	
	methodologically sound and complies with	
	the professional ethical standards and	
1.1	guidelines of the area of research. b) the	Lagran
1.1	information contained in this application is	I agree
	accurate;c) that the conduct of the	
	proposed research will not commence until	
	ethical approval/clearance has been	
	granted.	
1.2	Please indicate the type of research	Graduate Student Research
	If you checked the undergraduate student	
1.3	research box, please indicate if project is	
	part of an Honours Thesis	
	If you are an graduate/undergraduate	
	researcher you must include your	Yes - My supervisor is listed and has
1.4	supervisors name on the Project Team	
	Member tab and confirm here that your	reviewed this application
	supervisor has reviewed this application.	
1.5	What level of coursework does this project	Graduate Thesis
1.5 L	relate to?	Oraduate Triesis

# 2. Project Description, Methodology & Procedures

ſ	#	Question	Answer
- 1	==		

Describe the project including purpose and potential benefits. Please use the minimum of technical language

2.1

Project Description:Purpose:The project aims to explore and compare the ethical leadership beliefs of Indian professionals who are leaders in India and those who have immigrated to Canada and hold leadership positions there. Specifically, it seeks to understand what these leaders consider to be right or wrong in their leadership practices and how moving to and adapting to Canadian culture affects these beliefs. The study is an adaptation of a Xiaoyan Liang, Sen Sendjaya, and Lakmal Abeysekera's (2019) research on Chinese and Chinese-immigrant professionals in Australia, where they explored implicit leadership theory from a follower perspective and the acculturation process. This adaption will study leadership ethics from a leader perspective and the acculturation process in the Indian context and Canada. Key Objectives:1. Identify the core ethical principles and practices valued by leaders in India.2. Investigate how Indian immigrant leaders in Canada perceive ethical leadership and if their views have changed due to their new cultural environment.3. Compare the ethical leadership paradigms between the two groups to highlight similarities and differences and determine the degree of change that occurs during the acculturation process based on participants' perceptions. Potential Benefits:1. Enhanced Understanding of Leadership Across Cultures: The research will provide insights into how cultural contexts influence ethical leadership and moral reasoning processes, helping organizations understand the dynamics of leadership in diverse settings, which may help organizations support equity, inclusion, and diversity goals. 2. Support for Immigrant Leaders: The findings can help immigrant leaders

navigate their new cultural environments more effectively, enhancing their leadership effectiveness and overall career success; similarly, the findings can support domestic leaders in helping immigrant leaders be successful in their new environment. 3. Contribution to Academic Knowledge: This study will add to the academic literature on leadership and acculturation, providing a foundation for future research in this area. Currently, no studies have been conducted on Indian immigrants and how the acculturation process impacts perceptions of leadership ethics, thus this study adds a novel contribution to the literature. 4. Practical Guidelines for Organizations: Organizations with a diverse workforce can use the insights to foster an inclusive environment that respects and integrates different ethical perspectives, leading to a more harmonious and effective workplace. Overall, this project aims to bridge cultural gaps in leadership practices, promoting a deeper understanding of how ethical leadership is shaped by cultural influences and how it evolves in a new cultural context.

2.2 Provide a summary of the methodology and procedures. Please keep your summary short & concise.

The research methodology involves comparative qualitative research using semi-structured interviews of Indian and Indian-immigrants in Canada and, and Braun and Clarke's (2006) reflexive thematic analysis framework. The participants in this study will be Indian professionals in leadership positions in both India and Canada who agree and give consent to participate. Efforts will be made to ensure that participants are representative of diverse industries, disciplines, gender identity, level of experience, time in Canada, and age. Participants will be recruited with a minimum of 5 years of professional experience and at least 1 year in leadership roles. The study will employ semi-structured interviews based on an adaptation of Liang et al.'s (2019) interview questions (see attached in appendices). The adaptation incorporates Hoare et al.'s (2024) multiple ethical leadership framework Bird's Eye view to explore if one or more of the eight ethical paradigms are evident in participants' responses. The analysis will be both deductive for this purpose, as well as inductive to discover if new themes or emerge in the data. The research process will involve the following steps:1. Participant Selection and Recruitment:Purposeful and snowball sampling techniques will be used to identify suitable participants. Purposeful sampling ensures that participants have specific characteristics and experiences relevant to the research questions. Snowball sampling leverages the social networks of initial participants to identify additional suitable participants, which is particularly useful in reaching a broader yet specific population. The study aims to involve 6 to 10 participants, depending on the time

available given the constraints of a master's thesis and semester-based program. This range is deemed sufficient to achieve data saturation while considering the practical constraints of the research timeline. Invitations will be sent via email, detailing the study's objectives, methodology, and the estimated time commitment.2. Data Collection:To ensure a comprehensive understanding of participants' backgrounds and perspectives on ethics in leadership, I will use a two-step data collection method referred to as the "Write and Talk" approach. This method involves: Pre-Interview Worksheet (see attached in appendices): Participants will complete a worksheet providing background information and their initial thoughts on ethical leadership. This step ensures participants are aware of the study's focus and helps them articulate their thoughts on ethics before the interview. Semi-Structured interview (See the interview questionnaire attached in appendices): Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with each participant, either in person or virtually, lasting approximately 45 minutes. Interview questions will focus on understanding participants' perceptions of ethical leadership, how they define and determine what is right and wrong (i.e., their moral reasoning process), examples of ethical behaviors, changes in ethical views after migration (for those in Canada), and ethical challenges faced in their leadership roles.Interviews will be audio recorded with participants' consent and later transcribed for analysis. The interview transcripts will be sent to participants for member checks to ensure the accuracy and validity of the data collected.3. Data Analysis:Transcripts of the interviews will be coded and

analyzed using thematic analysis and qualitative software (NVivo), employing both inductive and deductive coding approaches. The analysis will identify themes and patterns in ethical leadership paradigms and compare findings between the two groups to determine culturally independent and dependent perceptions.4. Ethical Considerations:Informed consent will be obtained from all participants. Confidentiality and anonymity will be ensured throughout the study. Ethical guidelines for conducting research with human subjects will be strictly adhered to.5. Reporting and Dissemination:The findings will be compiled into a comprehensive report highlighting the key themes and differences in ethical leadership paradigms between the two groups. The report will be used to inform leadership development programs and provide practical guidelines for organizations with a diverse workforce. This research aims to provide insights into how cultural contexts and acculturation influence ethical leadership, contributing valuable knowledge to both academic research and practical applications in leadership development.

#### 3. Risk Assessment

#	Question	Answer
3.1	Estimate of Risk: What level of overall risk	Minimal
3.1	would you assign to this research project?	IVIII III II II
3.2	Physical Risk?	Minimal
3.3	Psychological/Emotional Risk?	Minimal
3.4	Social Risks?	Minimal
3.5	Employment Risks?	Minimal

	If you answered more than minimal risk to	
	any of the above, please describe potential	
	risks as well as the safeguards or	
	procedures you have in place. Please	
2.6	provide justification for any potential risks	
3.6	involved and explain why alternative	
	approaches (including revising the types of	
	data collected or the method that data is	
	collected) involving less risk cannot be	
	used	

# 4. Participant Information

#	Question	Answer
		Between 6 and 10 participants will be
		recruited to participate seeking a relatively
		equal number of Indian professionals and
4.1	How many participants will take part in	Indian-immigrant professionals in Canada.
4.1	total?	This number is considered adequate to
		reach data saturation while taking into
		account the practical limitations of the
		research timeline.
		The study will recruit Indian professionals
		in leadership positions in both India and
		Canada. The selection criteria mirrors that
		used in the Liang et al. (2019) study and
		includes:Aged between 25 and 50 years.A
		minimum of 5 years of professional
4.2	Who is being recruited and what is the	experience.At least 1 year of experience in
4.2	criteria for the selection?	a leadership role.Representative of diverse
		industry backgrounds.Willingness to
		participate and provide consent for the
		study. Note that interviews may take place
		in English and/or Hindi or Gujarati;
		therefore, language is not a barrier to
		participation in the study.

		Yes, certain individuals will be excluded
		from participation based on the following
		criteria:Individuals who immigrated to
		Canada before completing high school
		(i.e., below 18 years of age).Immigrants
		who have been residing in Canada for less
	Will anyone be excluded from	than 5 years and have not held leadership
4.3	participation? Yes/No; If yes, who and	roles within Canada.Immigrants who are
	why?	not currently residing in Canada.Indian
		professionals who have lived and worked
		outside of India for more than one
		year.These criteria ensure that the study
		focuses on a specific group of experienced
		leaders, thereby maintaining the relevance
		and quality of the research data.
		Participants are being recruited using
		purposeful and snowball sampling
		techniques beginning with the researchers'
		social networks and their extended
		networks. Purposeful sampling ensures
		that participants have the specific
4.4	How are the participants being recruited?	characteristics and experiences relevant to
4.4	now are the participants being recruited?	the research questions. Snowball sampling
		leverages the social networks of initial
		participants to identify additional suitable
		participants. Invitations will be sent via
		email, detailing the study's objectives,
		methodology, and the estimated time
		commitment.

## 5. Informed Consent

#	Question	Answer
5.1	Who will consent (check all who apply)	Participant
5.2	Deception - Will participants be informed of everything that will be required of them prior to the research? Yes/No. If no, please explain.	Yes

		Yes, participants will be debriefed at the
		end of the research project. Debriefing
		Process:Participants will receive a follow-
		up email thanking them for their
		participation and explaining the next
		steps.A summary of the research findings
		will be provided, highlighting key insights
		and outcomes.Participants will be given the
		opportunity to ask questions and provide
	Are participants to be debriefed at the end	feedback on the research process.Contact
5.3	of the research project? Yes/No.If yes,	details of the primary researcher will be
0.3	explain how it will be done. If not, explain	provided for any further inquiries or
	why not.	concerns.Participants will be reminded that
		their data will be held in strict confidence
		by the research team and anonymity will be
		maintained by using pseudonyms in place
		of participants' real names if any quotes
		are disseminated. The data will be
		provided in aggregate for each of the
		categories collected (i.e., gender, age)
		excluding suppressed data below 5
		responses to protect participant anonymity.
		Participants will be provided with a letter of
		invitation to participate and a consent form
	Don't have been define a fell and all	prior to the sessions (see attached
	Provide a description of the verbal	appendices). Additionally, prior to the
	explanation (if any) that will be given to the	interview the primary researcher will give a
5.4	participants before they are asked to	verbal explanation, outlining the study's
	consent to participate (by attachment if	purpose, procedures, and confidentiality
	required). If not applicable state why.	measures. Participants will also be
		informed about the member check process
		and the debriefing that will occur at the end
	To be sensitive to unique situations,	of the study.
	including cultural differences, a written	
	consent form may not be appropriate. If	
5.5	there is no consent form explain in detail	
0.0	your alternative procedures to ensure that	
	consent is obtained and recorded as	
	required.	
	· ·	

		Participants are informed of their right to
		withdraw in the invitation letter and consent
		form (see attached in the appendices), and
	How and when are the participants	during the verbal explanation before
	How and when are the participants	interviews. Participants can withdraw at
F.6	informed of the right to withdraw? What	any time without consequences by leaving
5.6	procedures will be followed for participants	the interview or contacting the researcher.
	the study. Please explain.	If withdrawing, their data will be destroyed.
		The researcher will confirm withdrawal
		requests in writing and document data
		removal. Contact information is provided to
		ensure easy communication.
	Other Institutions: In the case of projects	
	carried out at other institutions, the	
	Committee requires written proof that	
5.7	agency consent has been received. Please	
	indicate all that apply and provide copies of	
	the consent letters through the attachments	
	tab on this form.	

# 6. Project Details

#	Question	Answer
		The project will be conducted at Thompson
		Rivers University, as well as through online
		communication platforms for participants
6.1	Where will the project be conducted?	who are unable to attend in person.
		Interviews will be arranged at mutually
		convenient times and locations to
		accommodate participants' schedules.
6.2	Who will actually conduct the study?	The Principal Investigator Rumana Patel
0.2	Will actually conduct the study:	will conduct the study.
	Will the group of participants have any	
6.3	problems with giving informed consent on	No
	their own behalf?	
	If the participants are not competent to give	
6.4	fully informed consent, who will consent on	
0.4	their behalf. What measures will be used to	
	inform and obtain consent on their behalf?	
	Are participants considered members of a	No, the participants are not considered
6.5	(potentially) vulnerable group? If yes	members of a potentially vulnerable group.
	provide details	Theribers of a potentially vullerable group.
	Does your study have the potential for	
6.6	identifying distressed or disturbed	
	individuals? If yes, provide details.	

	If your study has the potential to upset	
6.7	participants, or identify distressed or	
	disturbed individuals, you must make	
	arrangements to mitigate such effects.	
	Describe the arrangements you have	
	made.	
		There is a minimal risk of perceived
	What, if any, discomfort or perceived	coercion, as participation is entirely
	degree of coercion are the particpants	voluntary. Clear communication will
6.8	likely to endure as a result of the research	emphasize that participants can withdraw
	study? Please explain.	at any time without any consequences,
	Study: 1 lease explain.	reducing any feelings of obligation to
		continue.
	What monetary compensation, if any, is	There is no monetary compensation being
6.9	being offered to the participants. If none	offered.
	please state so.	
		Each participant will need to dedicate
		approximately 6 to 10 minutes to read the
		research description and sign the consent
	How much time will a participant need to dedicate to the project? The control group participants?	form. Each participant will need to dedicate
6.10		approximately 45 minutes for the semi-
		structured interview. Additionally,
		participants will need to allocate 15 to 20
		minutes for the member check process,
		where they review and confirm the
		accuracy of their interview transcripts.

### 7. Data Details

#	Question	Answer	
7 1	IWho will have access to the data?	Rumana Patel, Alana Hoare, Tanya	
7.1		Manning-Lewis	

		All information supplied during the research	
		will be held in strict confidence.	
		Participants' names and any identifying	
		details will not appear in any reports,	
		presentations, publications, or exhibitions	
		of the research unless explicit consent has	
		been provided by the participants.	
		Participants will be reminded that their data	
7.2	How will you handle the requirement of	will be held in strict confidence by the	
,	confidentiality and anonymity?	research team and anonymity will be	
		maintained by using pseudonyms in place	
		of participants' real names if any quotes	
		are disseminated. The data will be	
		provided in aggregate for each of the	
		categories collected (i.e., gender, age)	
		excluding suppressed data below 5	
		responses to protect participant anonymity.	
	Will you be using a transcriber? If yes,		
7.2	please provide a copy of a Transcriptionist	No	
7.3	Confidentiality Agreement with this	INO	
	application.		
		Raw data, including audio recordings,	
		transcribed interviews, and any other	
	What are the specific details of storage and	•	
7.4	disposal of records/data? (Standard	on Rumana Patel's password-protected	
	retention timeline is 5 years before	laptop. Transcripts of the interviews will be	
	disposal)	imported into NVivo as a password-	
		protected project. Data will be deleted 5	
	Will any data that identifies individuals he	years after the completion of the study.	
	Will any data that identifies individuals be		
7.5	available to persons or agencies outside	No	
	the Research Group? If yes, provide justification and assessment of risk.		
	Will your project use (please check all that		
1 7.6	apply)	Interviews (submit copy of questions)	
	ן <i>ו</i> יאא~		

## 8. Additional Information

#	Question	Answer
8.1	Provide any additional information you may	
0.1	wish to provide in this area.	

### 9. Checklists & Good Practices

0.4	CONSENT: Title of Project - Have you	V
9.1	included the title on your consent form?	Yes
	CONSENT: On your consent form have	
9.2	you included the identification of	V <sub>2</sub> -
	investigators (including telephone	Yes
	numbers?	
	CONSENT: On your consent form have	
	you included a brief but complete	
9.3	description (in non technical language) of	Yes
9.5	the purpose of the project and all	i res
	procedures to be carried out in which the	
	participants are involved	
	CONSENT: On the consent form have you	
	indicated in some way that the identity of	
9.4	the participant will be kept confidential and	Yes
	a description of how this will be	
	accomplished?	
	CONSENT: On the consent form have you	
9.5	provided a statement of the total amount of	Yes
	time that will be required of the participant?	
	CONSENT; On the consent form have you	
9.6	provided details of monetary or other	Yes
0.0	compensation, if any, to be offered to	
	participants?	
	CONSENT: On the consent form did you	
	offer to answer any inquiries concerning	
9.7	the procedures to ensure that they are fully	Yes
	understood by the participant and to	
	provide a debriefing if appropriate?	
	CONSENT: On the consent form did you	
	provide a statement of the participants right	
9.8	to withdrawal or refusal to participate will	N/A
	be jeopardize further treatment, medical	
	care or influence class standing as	
	applicable?	
	CONSENT: On the consent form did you	
9.9	provide a place for signatures of the	Yes
	participant consenting to participate in the	
	research project, investigation or study?	
	CONSENT: On the consent form did you	
9.10	provide a statement acknowledging receipt	N/A
	of a copy of the consent form including any	
	attachments?	

	CONSENT: On Parental Consent forms -	
	Did you provide a statement of choice	
9.11	providing an option for refusal to participate	N/A
	(e.g. "I consent/do not consent to mu	
	child's participation in this study")	
	CONSENT: On the consent form did you	
	provide the contact information for the	
9.12	relevant Dean and Chair of the REB?	Yes
	(Chair contact information: TRU-	
	REB@tru.ca or 250.828.5000)	
	CONSENT: On the consent form did you	
9.13	provide a statement as to what the	Yes
9.13	information will be used for (presentation,	165
	publication, etc)?	
	CONSENT: On the consent form did you	
	provide a statement as to how the	
9.14	participant can receive a copy of executive	Yes
0.11	summary of completed projects and where	
	appropriate, receive updated information	
	during thecourse of the research?	
	CONSENT: On the consent form did you	
	provide a statement of the likelihood of any	
	discomforts and/or conveniences	
9.15	' '	N/A
	known or suspected short or long term	
	risks, and factors which might lead to	
	refusal to participate?	
	CONSENT: Use this space to provide	
9.16	details on an item in which you indicated	
	N/A regarding the CONSENT FORMS  QUESTIONNAIRE: On the questionnaire	
9.17	did you include the title of your project?	Yes
	QUESTIONNAIRE: On the Questionnaire	
9.18		N/A - Explain below
0.10	phone numbers)	TV/Y Explain bolow
	QUESTIONNAIRE: On the questionnaire	
	did you include a brief summary that	
9.19	indicates the purpose of theproject,	Yes
	including potential presentation and	
	publication if applicable?	
	QUESTIONNAIRE: On the questionnaire	
9.20	did you provide a statement as to the	Yes
	benefits to be derived?	

	QUESTIONNAIRE: On the questionnaire		
9.21	did you provide a full description of the	Yes	
	procedures to be carried out in which the	1165	
	participants are involved?		
	QUESTIONNAIRE: On the questionnaire		
	did you provide a statement of the		
9.22	participants right to refuse to participate or	Yes	
9.22	withdraw at any time without jeopardizing	165	
	further treatment, medical care or class		
	standing as application?		
	QUESTIONNAIRE: On the questionnaire		
9.23	did you indicate the amount of time that will	Yes	
	be required by the participant?		
	QUESTIONNAIRE: On the questionnaire		
9.24	did you provide a statement that indicates if	Yes	
0.21	the questionnaire is completed it will be		
	assumed that consent has been given?		
	QUESTIONNAIRE: On the questionnaire		
	did you provide assurance that the identity		
9.25	of the participant will be kept confidential	Yes	
	and description of how this will be		
	accomplished?		
	QUESTIONNAIRE: On any questionnaire		
	that will be circulated by mail did you		
9.26	include a copy of the explanatory letter as	Yes	
	well as a copy of the questionnaire with this		
	application?		
	QUESTIONNAIRE: Explain any reasons	Investigator details (phone number) are	
9.27	that you may have indicated N/A for any of	included in consent form.	
	the above questionnaire items.		

## Attachments

Doc / Agreement	Version Date	File Name	Description
		revisions requested - 104088.docx	N/A
		Manning-Lewis Tanya.pdf	N/A
		Hoare Alana.pdf	N/A

	New Pre-Interview Worksheet with Changes.docx	Pre-interview sheet with all the changes highlighted.
	Pre-Interview Worksheet.docx	Final clean copy that I would present to participants.
	Recruitment letter in Hindi.docx	Recruitment letter translated in Hindi.
	Recruitment letter in Gujarati.docx	Recruitment letter translated in Gujarati.
	Consent form in Hindi.docx	Consent form translated in Hindi.
	Consent form in Gujarati.docx	Consent form translated in Gujarati.
	RESPONSE TO PROVISOS.docx	N/A
Consent Form	Consent form.docx	Final clean copy that I would present to participants.
Consent Form	New consent form with Changes.docx	New consent form with changes highlighted.
Interview Questionnaire	Interview Questions.docx	Final clean copy that I would present to participants.
Interview Questionnaire	New Interview Questions with Changes.docx	Interview questionnaire with changes highlighted.
Other Document	Liang 2019.pdf	Liang et al.'s (2019) The proposed research is an adaptation of this Research.

Other Document	Email.docx	Email that will accompany recruitment letter and consent form.
Recruitment Letter	Recruitment Letter.docx	Final clean copy that I would present to participants.
Recruitment Letter	New Recruitment Letter with changes.docx	Recruitment letter with changes highlighted.